ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ತುಣುಕುಗಳು PAPER CLIPPINGS

TOLL . W. J. J. LEPARTMENT / SUBJECT: COURT NESSTEY

(e) The start of the NEWS PAPER: Deecan Herald agree : DATE: 67/11/2019

Proceedings against DKS: SC notice to ED, I-T authorities

MEW DELHI, DHMS: The Su- businessman and co-accused preme Court has issued Sunil Kumar Sharma. He had notice to the Enforcement Directorate and the Income tion from arrest or any other Tax authorities on a plea by former minister D K Shivakumar to quash the proceedings initiated against him in a money laundering case.

A bench of Justices Rohinton F Nariman and S Ravindra Bhat restrained both the agencies from taking any coercive action against the senior Congress leader.

The court sought a response from both the agencies on a special leave petition filed by the seven-time MLA against the Karnataka 29, 2019 that had declined to grant any relief to him.

On Friday, the court had dismissed the ED's plca against the bail granted to Shivakumar by the Delhi High Court on October 23. The court also expressed strong displeasure after it was pointed out that the ED had lifted paragraphs from its petition filed against P Chidamabaram, and described Shivakumar as former Union Home and Finance Minister.

"Issue notice. No coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner in the meanwhile," the court ordered, be invoked in the absence of admitting his petition for consideration. The court decided to take up Shivakumar's matter along with a petition to be treated as scheduled filed by Bengaluru-based offences.

already been granted proteccoercive action on October 16, 2019 by a bench led by Justice Nariman.

Shivakumar was arrested on September 3, 2019 in a case registered on August 29, 2018 on allegations of laundering money to the sum of Rs 143 crore. He remained in ED custody for 15 days and in Tihar Jail thereafter, before his bail on October 23.

In his plea, Shivakumar contended the entire proceedings initiated against him was an offshoot of the search-High Court's order of August es conducted by the I-T department sleuths at New Delhi and other places on August 2, 2017. His plea stated that the action under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act cannot continue on the basis of penal provision of Section 120B (criminal conspiracy) of the IPC and the provisions of the I-T Act.

The charges of "money laundering" can get attracted, only when the "proceeds of crime" were attempted to be ploughed into main stream of the economy. His petition further maintained Section 120B of IPC cannot predicate offcnce under the law and further the offences under I-T Act were not