ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನ ಸಭೆ. ಸಂಶೋಧನಾ ಹಾಗೂ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖನಾ ಶಾಖೆ KARNATAKA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY RESEARCH AND REFERENCE BRANCH

ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ತುಣುಕುಗಳು PAPER CLIPPINGS

ಇಲಾಖೆ / ವಿಷಯ: DEPARTMENT/SUBJECT:

Court Marter

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಹೆಸರು: NAME OF THE NEWS PAPER:

Times of grades

ವಿನಾಂಕ: DATE:/3/07/2019

SC. Maratha quoto cannot be given retrospectively

Appts, Admissions Subject To Final Order, But No Stay

The state of Amittage and Chaudhary

Amittage and Chaudhary

@timesgroup.com

New Delinit A day after the PJP Shire Same government in Makarashara decided to grant Maratha reservation retrospectively from 2014, the Suprema Court on Friday restrained it from doing so and made it clear that the law upheld by the Bombay high court recently, could be not implemented from a back year

The SC did not stay the Maratha quota either, but made it clear that appointments and admissions under it will be subject to its final decision. A bench of CJI Ranjan Gogoi and Justices

LEGAL WRANGLE

Now 35, 2018 Maha passes 16% Maratha quota bill

050 3 Quota challenged in Bombay HC as being violative of 50's 50% calling

Julia 17, 2015 HO upholds validity, but asks govt to reduce it to 12% and 13%

will be applied from 2014

All; 22 SC says quota can't be retrospective

Deepak Gupta and Aniruddha Bose articulated its views while issuing notice to the state government on an appeal filed against the verdict of the Bombay HC upholding the validity of the Socially and Educationally Backward Classes (SEBC) Act, 2018.

▶Continued on page 15

Reservation will be applied from 2014: Resolution

Continued from page 1

he state government decided to accommodate Maratha community applicants who could not be employed under the 16% quota announced by the erstwhile Congress-NCP government in 2014 after the Bombay high court stayed the quota in the same year. A government resolution issued on Thursday stated that the reservation will be applied from 2014. The state took the decision after the Bombay HC upheld the law and allowed 13% quota for Marathas under the SEBC Act.

Senior advocate Gopal Sankaranarayanan, appearing for one of petitioners challenging the Bombay HC order, brought the recent decision of the state government to the notice of the SC. Hesaid the decision was illegal and violation of law. Taking note of his submission, the bench said the law granting Maratha reservation and the HC order upholding the law cannot be implemented retrospectively. The state had provided for 16% Maratha reservation in both education and public jobs. The HC brought it down to 12% for education and 13% for jobs.