

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು

ಚುಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರುತಿಲ್ಲದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ : 131
 ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರು : ಶ್ರೀ ಶಶೀಲ್ ಜಿ. ನಮೋಶಿ (ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ)
 ಉತ್ತರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ : 05-12-2023
 ಉತ್ತರಿಸುವ ಸಚಿವರು : ನಗರಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮತ್ತು ನಗರ ಯೋಜನೆ ಸಚಿವರು

ಕ್ರ. ಸಂ	ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ	ಉತ್ತರ																																																																																																																															
ಅ)	ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಎಷ್ಟು ನಗರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯು ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ; ಈವರೆಗೆ ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾದ ಅನುದಾನ ಎಷ್ಟು; ಬಳಕೆಯಾದ ಅನುದಾನ ಎಷ್ಟು; (ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ನಗರಗಳವಾರು ಹಾಗೂ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿವಾರು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ವಿವರ ಸಹಿತ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡುವುದು)	<p>ರಾಜ್ಯದ 7 ನಗರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯು ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ.</p> <p>ಈವರೆಗೆ ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ನಗರವಾರು ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾದ ಹಾಗೂ ಬಳಕೆಯಾದ ಅನುದಾನದ ವಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತಿದೆ;</p> <p align="right">(ರೂ ಕೋಟಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">ನಗರ</th> <th colspan="3">ಅನುದಾನ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ</th> <th rowspan="2">ವೆಚ್ಚ</th> </tr> <tr> <th>ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ</th> <th>ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರ</th> <th>ಒಟ್ಟು</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ</td> <td>490.00</td> <td>441.00</td> <td>931.00</td> <td>876.11 (ಶೇ.94%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ</td> <td>490.00</td> <td>441.00</td> <td>931.00</td> <td>814.16 (ಶೇ.87%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ-ಧಾರವಾಡ</td> <td>441.00</td> <td>414.00</td> <td>855.00</td> <td>793.35 (ಶೇ.93%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಮಂಗಳೂರು</td> <td>416.50</td> <td>414.00</td> <td>830.50</td> <td>752.08 (ಶೇ.91%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ</td> <td>490.00</td> <td>500.00</td> <td>990.00</td> <td>921.96 (ಶೇ.93%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ತುಮಕೂರು</td> <td>490.00</td> <td>500.00</td> <td>990.00</td> <td>895.87 (ಶೇ.90%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು</td> <td>414.50</td> <td>414.00</td> <td>828.50</td> <td>807.78 (ಶೇ.97%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಒಟ್ಟು</td> <td>3232.00</td> <td>3124.00</td> <td>6356.00</td> <td>5861.30(ಶೇ.92%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ 7 ನಗರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿರುವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯ ವಿವರ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತಿದೆ;</p> <p>ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಅನುದಾನದಡಿ ಕೈಗೊಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಪ್ರಗತಿ ವಿವರ:</p> <p align="right">(ರೂ ಕೋಟಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">ನಗರ</th> <th colspan="2">ಒಟ್ಟು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು</th> <th colspan="2">ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು</th> <th colspan="3">ಪ್ರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು</th> </tr> <tr> <th>ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ</th> <th>ಮೊತ್ತ</th> <th>ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ</th> <th>ಮೊತ್ತ</th> <th>ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ</th> <th>DPR ಮೊತ್ತ</th> <th>ಈವರೆಗಿನ ವೆಚ್ಚ</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ</td> <td>102</td> <td>931.18</td> <td>96</td> <td>776.14</td> <td>6</td> <td>155.04</td> <td>101.69</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ</td> <td>109</td> <td>919.36</td> <td>97</td> <td>656.29</td> <td>12</td> <td>263.07</td> <td>183.74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ-ಧಾರವಾಡ</td> <td>62</td> <td>965.45</td> <td>58</td> <td>680.00</td> <td>4</td> <td>285.45</td> <td>185.07</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಮಂಗಳೂರು</td> <td>57</td> <td>928.04</td> <td>33</td> <td>287.39</td> <td>24</td> <td>640.65</td> <td>398.29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ</td> <td>71</td> <td>930.00</td> <td>67</td> <td>868.52</td> <td>4</td> <td>61.48</td> <td>41.78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ತುಮಕೂರು</td> <td>180</td> <td>936.37</td> <td>175</td> <td>827.87</td> <td>5</td> <td>108.50</td> <td>82.76</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು</td> <td>54</td> <td>936.02</td> <td>43</td> <td>641.30</td> <td>11</td> <td>294.72</td> <td>151.78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ಒಟ್ಟು</td> <td>635</td> <td>6546.42</td> <td>569</td> <td>4737.51</td> <td>66</td> <td>1808.91</td> <td>1145.11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	ನಗರ	ಅನುದಾನ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ			ವೆಚ್ಚ	ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ	ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರ	ಒಟ್ಟು	ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ	490.00	441.00	931.00	876.11 (ಶೇ.94%)	ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	490.00	441.00	931.00	814.16 (ಶೇ.87%)	ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ-ಧಾರವಾಡ	441.00	414.00	855.00	793.35 (ಶೇ.93%)	ಮಂಗಳೂರು	416.50	414.00	830.50	752.08 (ಶೇ.91%)	ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	490.00	500.00	990.00	921.96 (ಶೇ.93%)	ತುಮಕೂರು	490.00	500.00	990.00	895.87 (ಶೇ.90%)	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು	414.50	414.00	828.50	807.78 (ಶೇ.97%)	ಒಟ್ಟು	3232.00	3124.00	6356.00	5861.30(ಶೇ.92%)	ನಗರ	ಒಟ್ಟು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು		ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು		ಪ್ರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು			ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮೊತ್ತ	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮೊತ್ತ	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	DPR ಮೊತ್ತ	ಈವರೆಗಿನ ವೆಚ್ಚ	ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ	102	931.18	96	776.14	6	155.04	101.69	ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	109	919.36	97	656.29	12	263.07	183.74	ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ-ಧಾರವಾಡ	62	965.45	58	680.00	4	285.45	185.07	ಮಂಗಳೂರು	57	928.04	33	287.39	24	640.65	398.29	ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	71	930.00	67	868.52	4	61.48	41.78	ತುಮಕೂರು	180	936.37	175	827.87	5	108.50	82.76	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು	54	936.02	43	641.30	11	294.72	151.78	ಒಟ್ಟು	635	6546.42	569	4737.51	66	1808.91	1145.11
ನಗರ	ಅನುದಾನ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ			ವೆಚ್ಚ																																																																																																																													
	ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ	ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರ	ಒಟ್ಟು																																																																																																																														
ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ	490.00	441.00	931.00	876.11 (ಶೇ.94%)																																																																																																																													
ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	490.00	441.00	931.00	814.16 (ಶೇ.87%)																																																																																																																													
ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ-ಧಾರವಾಡ	441.00	414.00	855.00	793.35 (ಶೇ.93%)																																																																																																																													
ಮಂಗಳೂರು	416.50	414.00	830.50	752.08 (ಶೇ.91%)																																																																																																																													
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	490.00	500.00	990.00	921.96 (ಶೇ.93%)																																																																																																																													
ತುಮಕೂರು	490.00	500.00	990.00	895.87 (ಶೇ.90%)																																																																																																																													
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು	414.50	414.00	828.50	807.78 (ಶೇ.97%)																																																																																																																													
ಒಟ್ಟು	3232.00	3124.00	6356.00	5861.30(ಶೇ.92%)																																																																																																																													
ನಗರ	ಒಟ್ಟು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು		ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು		ಪ್ರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು																																																																																																																												
	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮೊತ್ತ	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮೊತ್ತ	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	DPR ಮೊತ್ತ	ಈವರೆಗಿನ ವೆಚ್ಚ																																																																																																																										
ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ	102	931.18	96	776.14	6	155.04	101.69																																																																																																																										
ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	109	919.36	97	656.29	12	263.07	183.74																																																																																																																										
ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ-ಧಾರವಾಡ	62	965.45	58	680.00	4	285.45	185.07																																																																																																																										
ಮಂಗಳೂರು	57	928.04	33	287.39	24	640.65	398.29																																																																																																																										
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	71	930.00	67	868.52	4	61.48	41.78																																																																																																																										
ತುಮಕೂರು	180	936.37	175	827.87	5	108.50	82.76																																																																																																																										
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು	54	936.02	43	641.30	11	294.72	151.78																																																																																																																										
ಒಟ್ಟು	635	6546.42	569	4737.51	66	1808.91	1145.11																																																																																																																										

ಪಿ.ಪಿ.ಪಿ ಮಾದರಿಯಡಿ ಕೈಗೊಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಪ್ರಗತಿ ವಿವರ;


(ರೂ ಕೋಟಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

ನಗರ	ಒಟ್ಟು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು		ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು		ಪ್ರಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು		ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಹಂತದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳು	
	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮೊತ್ತ	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮೊತ್ತ	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮೊತ್ತ	ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಮೊತ್ತ
ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ	6	211.06	1	4.90	5	206.16	0	0
ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	5	41.86	5	41.86	0	0	0	0
ಹುಬ್ಬಳ್ಳಿ-ಧಾರವಾಡ	1	50.00	0	0	1	50.00	0	0
ಮಂಗಳೂರು	4	262.79	0	0	4	262.79	0	0
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	3	185.76	2	45.66	0	0.00	1	140.1
ತುಮಕೂರು	7	350.30	3	179.07	4	171.23	0	0
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ಒಟ್ಟು	26	1101.77	11	271.49	14	690.18	1	140.1

ಆ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಭಾಗದ ಯಾವ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ; ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ, ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳೇನು; ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಲು ಇರುವ ಮಾನದಂಡಗಳೇನು? (ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಒದಗಿಸುವುದು)

ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಭಾಗದ ನಗರಗಳು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಅಭಿಯಾನದಡಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದ ಮಾನದಂಡಗಳ ಅನುಸಾರ ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಗಳಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದ ಕಾರಣ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದ ನಗರಗಳು ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಅಭಿಯಾನದಡಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸ್ಮಾರ್ಟ್ ಸಿಟಿ ಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾನದಂಡಗಳ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀಡಿದೆ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:ನಅಇ 159 ಸಿಎಸ್‌ಎಸ್ 2023


4/12/2023
(ಬಿ.ಎಸ್.ಸುರೇಶ್)

ನಗರಾಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಮತ್ತು ನಗರ ಯೋಜನೆ ಸಚಿವರು

**Annexure 3: Challenge Stage 1: Preconditions and Documents
to be submitted by each State**

Conditions precedent

1. State undertaking to make the City Smart (Form 1, Part-3),
2. Inter-departmental Task Force consisting of parastatal bodies, Urban Local Body (ULB), Organizations and Urban Development Authorities (UDAs) will be constituted in order to make the city Smart (Form 1, Part-4),
3. Elected City Council's Resolution to make the city Smart (Form 2, Part-5), and
4. Consultations held with residents on city development priorities (Form 2, Part-6).

Scoring criteria

Below are given the scoring criteria to be used by the States/UTs to score the potential Smart Cities and send the names of cities with the highest scores to MoUD for their selection to participate in the Stage 2 of the Challenge.

1. Existing Service Levels

- i. Percentage of increase over Census 2011 or Swachh Bharat baseline on number of household sanitary latrines, whichever is less (Form 2, Part -1) – 10 points,
- ii. Making operable Online Grievance Redressal System with response being sent back to complainant (Form 2, Part-2) – (Y/N) – 5 points,
- iii. At-least first monthly e-newsletter published (Form 2, Part-3) – (Y/N) – 5 points, and
- iv. Electronically place project-wise municipal budget expenditure information for the last two financial years on the website (Form 2, Part-4) – (Y/N) – 5 points.

2. Institutional Systems/ Capacities

- i. Started to levy compensatory penalty for delays in service delivery (Form 2, Part 7) - (Y/N) – 5 points, and
- ii. Has the total collection of internally generated revenue (e.g. taxes, fees, charges) shown an increasing trend during the last three FYs (2012-15) – (Form 2, Part 8) (Y/N) – 10 points.

3. Self-financing

- i. Payment of salaries by ULB up-to last month (Form 2, Part-9) – 5 points,
- ii. Audit of accounts up-to FY 12-13 (Form 2, Part-10) – 5 points,

- iii. Percentage contribution of tax revenue, fees and user charges, rents and other internal revenue sources to the ULB Budget (actuals in 2014-15) – (Form 2, Part 11) – 10 points, and
- iv. Percentage of operation and maintenance cost of water supply, which is met by collected user charges for supply of water during last FY (2014-15) – (Form 2, Part 12) – 10 points.

4. Past track record and reforms

- i. Percentage of internal revenue sources (self-generated) budget funds used for capital works during FY (2014-15) – (Form 2, Part 13) – 10 points,
- ii. Percentage of City-level JnNURM Reforms achieved (Form2, Part 14) – 10 points for six (6)ULB level Reforms, and
- iii. Percentage of JnNURM projects completed, which were sanctioned during the original Mission period (upto 2012) (Form 2, Part 15) – 10 points.

Documents

The forms in which the States have to get proposals from the ULBs and in which they have to send to the MoUD are given below.

1. The list of cities shortlisted by each state (Form 1, Part-1).
2. Declaration of shortlisting criteria met by each shortlisted city (Form 1, Part-2). This form needs to be submitted for each shortlisted city.
3. Undertaking of the State Government to make the city smart (Form 1, Part-3)
4. Order of constitution of Inter-departmental Task Force (Form 1, Part-4)

Additional documents in support of Form 1, will be submitted by each shortlisted city under the signature of Municipal Commissioner/ Head of the ULB to the State Mission Director (Form 2).

FORM 1

(To be sent by State to MoUD)

Name of State :

Number of cities allotted :

Part 1: List of cities shortlisted by each State

S. No	Name of city	Population of city	Conditions precedent Satisfied			
			1	2	3	4
			Y/N	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N

Part 2: Details of score obtained by each shortlisted city*

Name of Shortlisted City :

S.No.	Criteria	Total Score	Score obtained
1	Increase over Census 2011 or Swachh Bharat baseline on number of household sanitary latrines (whichever is less)	10	
2	Making operable Online Grievance Redressal System with response being sent back to complainant	5	
3	At-least first monthly e-newsletter published	5	
4	Electronically place project-wise municipal budget expenditure information for the last two financial years on the website	5	
5	Levy of compensatory penalty for delays in service delivery	5	
6	Collection of internally generated revenue (e.g. taxes, fees, charges) during the last three FYs (2012-15)	10	

7	Payment of salaries by ULB up-to last month	5	
8	Audit of accounts for FY 12-13	5	
9	Percentage contribution of tax revenue, fees and user charges, rents and other internal revenue sources	10	
10	Percentage of establishment and maintenance cost of water supply	10	
11	Percentage contribution of internal revenue sources (self-generated) used for capital works during FY 2014-15	10	
12	Percentage of City-level JnNURM Reforms achieved	10	
13	Percentage of completion of Projects sanctioned upto March, 2012 under JnNURM	10	
Total		100	

*This form needs to be filled for each shortlisted city.

Part 3: Undertaking from the State Government

I hereby confirm that the State of..... (Name).....fully
commits the development of the city (Name)..... as a Smart City.

Part 4: Order of constitution of Inter-departmental Task Force

A Government order for constituting an Inter-departmental Task Force consisting of parastatal bodies ULB, UDA to make the city Smart is attached.

I hereby confirm that I have verified the information and it is true and correct. Name of the City has been approved by HPSC in its meeting held on

(Principal Secretary/ Secretary (UD))

State Government of

Form 2- Score Card
(To be sent by ULBs to State)

Name of ULB :

Name of State :

Existing Service Levels

Part 1: Increase in sanitary latrines built under Swachh Bharat Mission

	Achievement > 10%	Achievement between 7.5 to 10%	Achievement between 5 to 7.5%	Achievement < 5%
	10 marks	7.5 marks	5 marks	0 marks
Percentage of Increase over Census 2011 or Swachh Bharat baseline on number of household sanitary latrines (whichever is less)				

Part 2: Operable Online Grievance Redressal System

	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)
Making operable Online Grievance Redressal System with response being sent back to complainant		

Part 3: Monthly e-newsletter

	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)
At-least first monthly e-newsletter published		

Part 4: Electronically place project-wise municipal budget expenditure information

	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)
Electronically placed project-wise municipal budget expenditure information for the last two financial years on the website		

Part 5 : Resolution of elected city council

A copy of the Resolution No. _____ dated _____ (English/Hindi/Other version) is attached.

Part 6 : Table with dates, specific agenda and number of people in attendance in ward consultations held with residents of the city

S.No.	Date	Agenda	Ward No.	No of people attended

Institutional Systems/ Capacities

Part 7: Levy of compensatory penalty for delays in service delivery

	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)
Started to levy compensatory penalty for delays in service delivery		

Part 8: Collection of internally generated revenue (e.g. taxes, fees, charges) during the last three FYs (2012-15).

	Year			Yes (10 points)	No (0 points)
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15		
Increasing trend of total collection of internally generated revenue (e.g. taxes, fees, charges) during the last three FYs (2012-15)					

Self-Financing

Part 9: Payment of salaries

	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)
Payment of salaries by ULB up-to last month		

Part 10: Audit of accounts

	Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)
Audit of accounts up-to FY 12-13		

Part 11: Percentage contribution of tax revenue, fees and user charges, rents and other internal revenue sources

	> 50 % contribution in ULB Budget	Between 35% to 50% contribution in ULB Budget	Between 20% to 35% contribution in ULB Budget	< 20 % contribution in ULB Budget
	10 marks	7.5 marks	5 marks	0 marks
Percentage contribution of tax revenue, fees and user charges, rents and other internal revenue sources to the ULB Budgeted receipts (actuals in 2014-15)				

Part 12: Percentage of operation and maintenance cost of water supply

	> 80% O&M cost coming from user charges	Between 60% to 80% O&M cost coming from user charges	Between 40% to 60% O&M cost coming from user charges	<40 % O&M cost coming from user charges
	10 marks	7.5 marks	5 marks	0 marks
Percentage of O&M cost met through user charges collection for supply of water during last FY				

Past track record and reforms

Part 13: Percentage contribution of internal revenue sources (self-generated) used for capital works during FY 2014-15

	>20 % contribution for capital works	Between 10% to 20% contribution for capital works	Between 5% to 10% contribution for capital works	< 5 % contribution for capital works
	10 marks	7.5 marks	5 marks	0 marks
Percentage contribution of internal revenue sources (self-generated) used for capital works during FY 2014-15				

Part 14: City-level JnNURM Reforms

	100 % of the reforms achieved	90 % of the reforms achieved	80 % of the reforms achieved	< 80 % of reforms achieved
	10 marks	7.5 marks	5 marks	0 marks
Percentage of City-level JnNURM Reforms* achieved				

*As per cycle V records 31.3.2014

Part 15: Completion of Projects sanctioned upto March, 2012 under JnNURM

	100 % of the projects completed	90 % of the projects completed	80 % of the projects completed	< 80 % projects completed
	10 marks	7.5 marks	5 marks	0 marks
Percentage of JnNURM projects** completed, which were sanctioned during the original Mission period (upto March, 2012)				

** As per the completion certificate received from State as on 31.3.2014

I hereby confirm that I have verified the information presented in this form which is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

(Municipal Commissioner/ Head of the ULB, Parastatal)